
THE GLOBAL REGULATORY DEVELOPMENTS JOURNAL

Editor's Note: From Climate Change and Gambling to Foreign Subsidies and Data Export

Victoria Prussen Spears

International Tribunal on the Law of the Sea Issues Landmark Advisory Opinion on Climate Change

Paul A. Davies, Sophie J. Lamb, Michael D. Green, and Stephanie Forrest

Finland Proposes Sweeping Reform of Gaming Market with Open Licensing System

Johnny P. ElHachem

German Federal Government Lays Foundation for Carbon Capture and Storage in Germany

Alexander "Stefan" Rieger, John-Patrick Sweny, Jean-Philippe Brisson, and Joachim Grittmann

The European Union's Industrial Carbon Management Strategy: Building a CO₂ Market to Meet Climate Goals

François-Charles Laprèvote, Camilla Cozzani, Sebastian Kummeler, and Consuelo Pollonara

The European Union's ESG Ratings Regulation: Ten Questions (and Answers!)

Marc Schubert, Hannah Laurie, Bryony Pearson and Amy Waddington

What Are High-Risk Artificial Intelligence Systems Within the Meaning of the European Union's Artificial Intelligence Act and What Requirements Apply to Them?

Martin Braun, Anne Vallery, and Itsiq Benizri

The European Union Foreign Subsidies Regulation in Practice: First Cases and Enforcement Trends

François-Charles Laprèvote, Wanjie Lin, and Francesco Iodice

Unlocking a Mystery of China Compliance: Fapiaos

Helen Hwang, Eric Carlson, Ping An, and Huanhuan Zhang

From Regulating to Facilitating: Key Developments in China's Safe Harbor Rules—Part II

Amigo L. Xie, Dan Wu, and Enzo Wu

The Global Regulatory Developments Journal

Volume 1, No. 6

November–December 2024

- 393 Editor’s Note: From Climate Change and Gambling to Foreign Subsidies and Data Export**
Victoria Prussen Spears
- 397 International Tribunal on the Law of the Sea Issues Landmark Advisory Opinion on Climate Change**
Paul A. Davies, Sophie J. Lamb, Michael D. Green, and Stephanie Forrest
- 405 Finland Proposes Sweeping Reform of Gaming Market with Open Licensing System**
Johnny P. ElHachem
- 409 German Federal Government Lays Foundation for Carbon Capture and Storage in Germany**
Alexander “Stefan” Rieger, John-Patrick Sweny, Jean-Philippe Brisson, and Joachim Grittmann
- 415 The European Union’s Industrial Carbon Management Strategy: Building a CO₂ Market to Meet Climate Goals**
François-Charles Lapr vate, Camilla Cozzani, Sebastian K mmler, and Consuelo Pollonara
- 421 The European Union’s ESG Ratings Regulation: Ten Questions (and Answers!)**
Marc Schubert, Hannah Laurie, Bryony Pearson and Amy Waddington
- 429 What Are High-Risk Artificial Intelligence Systems Within the Meaning of the European Union’s Artificial Intelligence Act and What Requirements Apply to Them?**
Martin Braun, Anne Vallery, and Itsiq Benizri
- 437 The European Union Foreign Subsidies Regulation in Practice: First Cases and Enforcement Trends**
François-Charles Lapr vate, Wanjie Lin, and Francesco Iodice
- 447 Unlocking a Mystery of China Compliance: Fapiaos**
Helen Hwang, Eric Carlson, Ping An, and Huanhuan Zhang
- 465 From Regulating to Facilitating: Key Developments in China’s Safe Harbor Rules—Part II**
Amigo L. Xie, Dan Wu, and Enzo Wu

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Steven A. Meyerowitz

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

Victoria Prussen Spears

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

Paulo Fernando Campana Filho

Partner

Campana Pacca

Hei Zuqing

Distinguished Researcher

International Business School, Zhejiang University

Justin Herring

Partner

Mayer Brown LLP

Lisa Peets

Partner

Covington & Burling LLP

Joan Stewart

Partner

Wiley Rein LLP

William D. Wright

Partner

Fisher Phillips

THE GLOBAL REGULATORY DEVELOPMENTS JOURNAL (ISSN 2995-7486) at \$495.00 annually is published six times per year by Full Court Press, a Fastcase, Inc., imprint. Copyright 2024 Fastcase, Inc. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner.

For customer support, please contact Fastcase, Inc., 729 15th Street, NW, Suite 500, Washington, D.C. 20005, 202.999.4777 (phone), or email customer service at support@fastcase.com.

Publishing Staff

Publisher: Leanne Battle

Production Editor: Sharon D. Ray

Cover Art Design: Morgan Morrisette Wright and Sharon D. Ray

The photo on this journal's cover is by Gaël Gaborel—A Picture of the Earth on a Wall—on Unsplash

Cite this publication as:

The Global Regulatory Developments Journal (Fastcase)

This publication is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

Copyright © 2024 Full Court Press, an imprint of Fastcase, Inc.

All Rights Reserved.

A Full Court Press, Fastcase, Inc., Publication

Editorial Office

729 15th Street, NW, Suite 500, Washington, D.C. 20005

<https://www.fastcase.com/>

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE GLOBAL REGULATORY DEVELOPMENTS JOURNAL, 729 15th Street, NW, Suite 500, Washington, D.C. 20005.

Articles and Submissions

Direct editorial inquiries and send material for publication to:

Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.,
26910 Grand Central Parkway, #18R, Floral Park, NY 11005, smeyerowitz@
meyerowitzcommunications.com, 631.291.5541.

Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to international attorneys and law firms, in-house counsel, corporate compliance officers, government agencies and their counsel, senior business executives, and others interested in global regulatory developments.

This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but the publisher, the editors and the authors are not rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the Editorial Content appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please contact:

Leanne Battle, Publisher, Full Court Press at leanne.battle@vlex.com or at
866.773.2782

For questions or Sales and Customer Service:

Customer Service
Available 8 a.m.–8 p.m. Eastern Time
866.773.2782 (phone)
support@fastcase.com (email)

Sales
202.999.4777 (phone)
sales@fastcase.com (email)

ISSN 2995-7486

From Regulating to Facilitating: Key Developments in China's Safe Harbor Rules—Part II

Amigo L. Xie, Dan Wu, and Enzo Wu*

In this conclusion of a two-part article, the authors discuss a new pathway that substantially simplifies the process of exporting data out of China.

This article compares the draft Provisions on Regulating and Facilitating Cross-Border Data Flow (Chinese version only)¹ and the Provisions on Facilitating and Regulating Cross-Border Data Flow,² and China's three existing routes for a cross-border data transfer: the Measures for the Security Assessment of Outbound Data Transfer (CAC Assessment Rules),³ the Specifications on Security Certification for Cross-Border Personal Information Processing Activities (Licensed Certification Guidance),⁴ and the Measures for the Standard Contract for the Outbound Transfer of Personal Information (China SCC Measures).⁵

Prior to the release of the Provisions, multinational corporations (MNCs) with the need to transfer data, especially personal data, out of China were required to go through one of the three data export mechanisms:

1. The security assessment conducted by the CAC (the CAC Assessment);
2. The protection certification by a licensed organization (the Licensed Certification); and
3. The China standard contract (the China SCC) (collectively known as the Three Mechanisms).

The introduction of the Provisions (the Safe Harbor Rules) offers exemptions from the cumbersome Three Mechanisms and clarifies the relationship between Safe Harbor Rules and existing regulations of the Three Mechanisms.

The Provisions make it clear that in the case of any conflicts between the Safe Harbor Rules and the existing regulations of the

Three Mechanisms that were promulgated before the Safe Harbor Rules, the Safe Harbor Rules will prevail.

The Three Mechanisms do not introduce the concept of the three types of necessary data export as exemption from the Three Mechanisms. As such, where the data exporter is not a critical information infrastructure operator (CIIO)⁶ or where the data to be exported does not comprise important data, the mechanism to be used by a data exporter among the Three Mechanisms will depend exclusively on the volume of personal data involved in the contemplated transfer.

Table 1 sets out the major changes of the volume threshold of the Three Mechanisms for personal data exporters who are not CIIOs between the key existing regulations and the Provisions.

After the introduction of the Provisions, the criteria for mandatory mechanism of China SCC, Licensed Certification, and CAC Assessment have been substantially limited in that:

- Certain volume thresholds that trigger the Three Mechanisms have been higher. In other words, more scenarios that are not within the scope of the three types of necessary data export activities are no longer subject to the CAC Assessment under the Provisions;
- The period for calculating the volume of personal data exports has been shortened from two years to one year, lifting the threshold for data exporters to trigger the Three Mechanisms; and
- The Provisions also eliminate the obligation for data controllers processing the personal data of more than 1 million individuals in China (the Mass Data Controllers) to undergo a CAC Assessment if they only export personal data of a small amount of people, such as one individual. Previously, Mass Data Controllers were compelled to undertake CAC Assessments even if they exported personal data of one individual.

Table 1		
Routes	Three Mechanisms	Safe Harbors
China SCC or Licensed Certification	<p>Exports of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Less than 100,000 individuals' general personal data, or • Less than 10,000 individuals' sensitive personal data, <p>in each case within two years since 1 January of the previous year by personal data controllers who process less than 1 million individuals' personal data in China (Article 4 of China SCC Measures)</p>	<p>Exports of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 100,000 to 1 million individuals' personal data (excluding sensitive personal data), or • Less than 10,000 individuals' sensitive personal data, <p>in each case within one year cumulated starting from 1 January that year by personal data controllers who are not CIIOs (Article 8)</p>
CAC Assessment	<p>Exports of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More than 100,000 individuals' general personal data, or • More than 10,000 individuals' sensitive personal data, <p>in each case within two years since 1 January of the previous year by personal data controllers who process less than 1 million individuals' personal data in China (Article 4-3 of CAC Assessment Rules)</p> <p>Exports of any personal data by personal data controllers who process more than 1 million individuals' personal data in China (Article 4-2 of CAC Assessment Rules)</p>	<p>Exports of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More than 1 million individuals' personal data (excluding sensitive personal data), or • More than 10,000 individuals' sensitive personal data, <p>in each case with one year cumulated starting from 1 January that year by personal data controllers who are not CIIOs (Article 7-2)</p>

Observations

1. The Provisions have substantially lowered the compliance burdens for most MNCs in exporting data from China, especially in the scenario of cross-border human resource management and for the business-to-business relationships in which only a limited amount of personal data (employee or nonemployee) is exported from China, and generally for business purposes.
2. MNCs need to have a proper China employee privacy notice that is compliant with both the data privacy protection laws and the labor laws in place.
3. Regardless of the Provisions' stipulations stating that MNCs would be exempt from the Three Mechanisms when requirements are met, MNCs are still required to adhere to in-house compliance obligations for data export and general data processing under the Personal Information Protection Law. This includes, notably:
 - Proper notification to individual data subjects;
 - Obtaining individual consent (where necessary);
 - Conducting personal data protection impact assessments required in any of seven scenarios stipulated in the Personal Information Protection Law;
 - Fulfilling data security obligations;
 - Implementing technical and other necessary safeguards;
 - Managing security incidents; and
 - Establishing data security and personal data protection systems.

Notes

* Amigo L. Xie and Dan Wu, attorneys with K&L Gates LLP, may be contacted at amigo.xie@klgates.com and dan.wu@klgates.com, respectively. Enzo Wu, a trainee solicitor at the firm, may be contacted at enzo.wu@klgates.com.

1. https://www.cac.gov.cn/2023-09/28/c_1697558914242877.htm.
2. https://www.cac.gov.cn/2024-03/22/c_1712776611775634.htm.
3. https://www.gov.cn/zhengce/zhengceku/2022-07/08/content_5699851.htm.
4. <https://www.tc260.org.cn/front/postDetail.html?id=20221216161852>.

5. https://www.gov.cn/gongbao/content/2023/content_5752224.htm.
6. Under the Provisions, a CIO's data export is not entitled to the volume threshold exempt.