

FEBRUARY 2022

VOL. 22-2

PRATT'S

ENERGY LAW

REPORT



LexisNexis

EDITOR'S NOTE: CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES

Victoria Prussen Spears

INCREASING FOCUS ON BIODIVERSITY-RELATED FINANCIAL RISK PRESENTS NEW CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES IN ENERGY AND INFRASTRUCTURE

Alexandra N. Farmer, Jennie Morawetz, Sara K. Orr and Ruth Knox

DOES THE ADP IN YOUR LNG SPA MEET YOUR NEEDS?

Merrick White

KEY PROVISIONS OF FERC'S 2021 ENFORCEMENT REPORT

Ruta K. Skucas, David L. Wochner, Kimberly B. Frank, Donald A. Kaplan and Maeve C. Tibbetts

FINANCING U.S. OFFSHORE WIND

Marius Griskonis and Michael Rodgers

CONVERTING HOT AIR INTO POSITIVE ACTION

Tim Baines, Kirsti Massie, Alexander Burdulia and Oliver Williams

MARITIME LAW: MARITIME DECARBONIZATION

Stefanos N. Roulakis and Vanessa C. DiDomenico

Pratt's Energy Law Report

VOLUME 22

NUMBER 2

February 2022

Editor's Note: Challenges and Opportunities

Victoria Prussen Spears

41

**Increasing Focus on Biodiversity-Related Financial Risk Presents
New Challenges and Opportunities in Energy and Infrastructure**

Alexandra N. Farmer, Jennie Morawetz, Sara K. Orr and Ruth Knox

43

Does the ADP in Your LNG SPA Meet Your Needs?

Merrick White

52

Key Provisions of FERC's 2021 Enforcement Report

Ruta K. Skucas, David L. Wochner, Kimberly B. Frank,
Donald A. Kaplan and Maeve C. Tibbetts

56

Financing U.S. Offshore Wind

Marius Griskonis and Michael Rodgers

61

Converting Hot Air into Positive Action

Tim Baines, Kirsti Massie, Alexander Burdulia and Oliver Williams

65

Maritime Law: Maritime Decarbonization

Stefanos N. Roulakis and Vanessa C. DiDomenico

76

QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the **Editorial Content** appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please email:

Jessica Carnevale at (212) 229-4942
Email: jessica.carnevale@lexisnexus.com
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (973) 820-2000

For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call:

Customer Services Department at (800) 833-9844
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (518) 487-3385
Fax Number (800) 828-8341
Customer Service Website <http://www.lexisnexus.com/custserv/>

For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call

Your account manager or (800) 223-1940
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (937) 247-0293

ISBN: 978-1-6328-0836-3 (print)
ISBN: 978-1-6328-0837-0 (ebook)
ISSN: 2374-3395 (print)
ISSN: 2374-3409 (online)

Cite this publication as:

[author name], [*article title*], [vol. no.] PRATT’S ENERGY LAW REPORT [page number]
(LexisNexis A.S. Pratt);

Ian Coles, *Rare Earth Elements: Deep Sea Mining and the Law of the Sea*, 14 PRATT’S ENERGY
LAW REPORT 4 (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of RELX Inc. Matthew Bender, the Matthew Bender Flame Design, and A.S. Pratt are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc. Copyright © 2022 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Office
230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862
www.lexisnexus.com

MATTHEW  BENDER

Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

SAMUEL B. BOXERMAN

Partner, Sidley Austin LLP

ANDREW CALDER

Partner, Kirkland & Ellis LLP

M. SETH GINTHER

Partner, Hirschler Fleischer, P.C.

STEPHEN J. HUMES

Partner, Holland & Knight LLP

R. TODD JOHNSON

Partner, Jones Day

BARCLAY NICHOLSON

Partner, Norton Rose Fulbright

BRADLEY A. WALKER

Counsel, Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC

ELAINE M. WALSH

Partner, Baker Botts L.L.P.

SEAN T. WHEELER

Partner, Kirkland & Ellis LLP

Hydraulic Fracturing Developments

ERIC ROTHENBERG

Partner, O'Melveny & Myers LLP

Pratt's Energy Law Report is published 10 times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Copyright © 2022 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 9443 Springboro Pike, Miamisburg, OH 45342 or call Customer Support at 1-800-833-9844. Direct any editorial inquiries and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway Suite 18R, Floral Park, New York 11005, smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 631.291.5541. Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to lawyers and law firms, in-house counsel, government lawyers, senior business executives, and anyone interested in privacy and cybersecurity related issues and legal developments. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to *Pratt's Energy Law Report*, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 230 Park Ave. 7th Floor, New York NY 10169.

Key Provisions of FERC’s 2021 Enforcement Report

*By Ruta K. Skucas, David L. Wochner, Kimberly B. Frank,
Donald A. Kaplan and Maeve C. Tibbetts**

The authors review the actions taken by three divisions within the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission’s Office of Enforcement, as highlighted in a report released recently by the Commission.

The Office of Enforcement (“Enforcement”) within the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (“FERC” or the “Commission”) recently released its 2021 Annual Report on Enforcement (“FY2021 Report”).¹ The FY2021 Report provides an overview of Enforcement’s priorities. It summarizes actions taken by the three divisions within Enforcement: Division of Investigations (“DOI”), Division of Audits and Accounting (“DAA”) and Division of Analytics and Surveillance (“DAS”).

FY2021 PRIORITIES REMAIN UNCHANGED, WITH AN ADDITION

In FY2021, Enforcement’s priorities stayed almost the same as in 2020:

- Fraud and market manipulation;
- Serious violations of Reliability Standards;
- Anticompetitive conduct; and
- Conduct that threatens the transparency of regulated markets.

In 2021, however, Enforcement added a new priority related to matters involving threats to the nation’s energy infrastructure and associated impacts on the environment and surrounding communities.

INCREASED INVESTIGATIONS UNDER CHAIRMAN GLICK

During the November 2020 Commission open meeting, then-Commissioner Glick criticized the Commission’s enforcement efforts, which he perceived as

* Ruta K. Skucas, a partner in K&L Gates LLP, is a member of the firm’s Power practice group. David L. Wochner, a partner in the firm, serves as co-practice area leader for the firm’s Global Policy and Regulatory practice. Kimberly B. Frank, a partner in the firm, is a member of the firm’s Power practice group. Donald A. Kaplan, a partner in the firm, concentrates his practice in litigation and representation of clients before courts and administrative agencies. Maeve C. Tibbetts is an associate at the firm. The authors may be contacted at ruta.skucas@klgates.com, david.wochner@klgates.com, kimberly.frank@klgates.com, don.kaplan@klgates.com and maeve.tibbetts@klgates.com, respectively.

¹ <https://www.ferc.gov/media/fiscal-year-2021-annual-report-enforcement>.

lacking. In 2020, the Commission opened only six new investigations and reached three settlements totaling \$553,376. Commissioner Glick became Chairman of the Commission in January 2021 and announced shortly thereafter that vigorous enforcement would be one of his priorities. For 2021, Enforcement reported that it opened 12 new investigations and negotiated settlements in eight investigations totaling \$6.4 million, illustrating the implementation of Chairman Glick's priority.

In FY2021, seven of the 12 new investigations opened arose from referrals by ISO and RTO Market Monitoring Units ("MMUs"). MMUs typically collaborate with Enforcement and make confidential referrals when they believe that a market violation occurred. The Commission received 14 new MMU referrals (some of which involved more than one type of violation or multiple subjects), of which two involved potential market manipulations, 11 involved potential tariff violations, and five involved potential misrepresentations prohibited by the Commission's Duty of Candor rule.

WINTER WEATHER EVENT ANALYSIS

DOI and DAS staff spent significant efforts on the impacts of the February 2021 extreme winter weather event associated with winter storm Uri (Winter Weather Event) that affected portions of ERCOT, SPP, and MISO. DOI prepared briefs and reports related to the Winter Weather Event, while DAS conducted a comprehensive review of wholesale natural gas and electricity market activity to determine if market participants engaged in market manipulation or other violations.

EFFECTIVE COMPLIANCE PROGRAMS ARE KEY

In 2010, the Commission issued revised penalty guidelines allowing an organization to reduce its culpability score through favorable factors, including a compliance program. Out of the eight approved settlements in FY2021, six included compliance monitoring requirements indicating the importance of a comprehensive compliance program. DAA administers Enforcement's audit, accounting, and forms administration and compliance programs. DAA indicates the most robust compliance programs:

- Equip staff and management with sufficient training, education, tools, and other resources to detect issues promptly to correct or prevent noncompliance;
- Have effective lines of communication and notify staff of standards through well-publicized policies and procedures;
- Stay abreast of compliance trends by reviewing Commission orders and audit reports and incorporate these trends and other developments in

the industry;

- Have a designated compliance officer and compliance committee charged with development and oversight of compliance activities and metrics that assess program effectiveness;
- Actively involve senior management and provide for the allocation of funds necessary for compliance programs;
- Actively involve internal audit and monitoring functions to routinely assess compliance with tariff provisions and Commission rules, orders, and regulations, to foster a strong and sustainable culture of commitment to compliance on an enterprise-wide basis; and
- Seek guidance from the Commission as necessary to ensure compliance, including an effective process to self-report noncompliance identified through internal oversight activities.

These recommendations are consistent with the Staff White Paper on Effective Energy Trading Compliance Practices that Commission staff issued in November 2016.

SIGNIFICANT TAKEAWAYS AND ENFORCEMENT PRIORITIES

The FY2021 Report shows Chairman Glick's prioritization of enforcement among the Commission's duties. There are several key takeaways highlighted in the report, as well as the types of investigations that the Commission opened, and self-reports it highlighted.

- *Self-Reporting Remains Significant.* The report highlights 146 new self-reports which Enforcement received over the past year and notes that detection and remediation of violations remain hallmarks of successful compliance programs. Enforcement closed the majority of self-reports with no action because the company promptly self-reported, corrected the mistake, and provided refunds in some instances.
 - *Electric Tariff/OATT Violations.* Several companies self-reported tariff violations where the utility inadvertently reported inaccurate generation data to an ISO/RTO or when it inadvertently scheduled generation quantity to an ISO/RTO that was in excess of the resource's transmission capacity.
 - *Regulatory Filing Violation.* Several entities made errors in their regulatory filings, violating Commission regulations. For example, a natural gas company self-reported it failed to file an accurate FERC Form No. 552 and a power marketer self-reported errors in its Electric Quarterly Reports.

- *Renewable Resources and Capacity Market Participants Received Scrutiny.* Several self-reports and settlements highlight Enforcement's close attention to compliance with capacity supply obligations and market offers. Renewable resources were not exempted from such scrutiny.
 - *Terra-Gen, LLC, Docket No. IN21-7-000.* The Commission approved a settlement with Terra-Gen, LLC, after Enforcement investigated whether Terra-Gen submitted false or misleading information to CAISO about the capabilities of its wind-powered electric generation facility and whether Terra-Gen violated the CAISO tariff by deviating its wind farms' output from CAISO's dispatch instructions.
 - *Federal Power Act Section 205 Violation.* The owner of several wind projects self-reported its failure to self-certify a project as a Qualifying Facility ("QF") before making wholesale power sales. The owner submitted a FERC Form No. 556, certifying the project as a QF and paid refunds on revenues collected on the wholesale power sales made during the period when the project was not certified as a QF. Staff closed the self-report without further action because the violation was unintentional, and the owner paid refunds.
- *Several Older Cases Remain in Court.* Enforcement staff continues to litigate several older cases which will provide judicial precedent on the scope of Enforcement's jurisdiction and authority. These include:
 - *FERC v. Powhatan Energy Fund LLC (E.D. Va.).* In 2015, the Commission assessed civil penalties of almost \$30 million against Powhatan Energy Fund LLC, an individual trader and two affiliated funds for violating the Commission's anti-manipulation rule by engaging in allegedly fraudulent Up-To Congestion trades in the PJM Interconnection, LLC market. Shortly thereafter, Enforcement staff filed a petition in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia to enforce the Commission's order. On February 11, 2020, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit upheld the district court's opinion recognizing that Congress conditioned FERC's right to bring an action in federal district court after statutorily mandated measures, and the statutory limitation period commences only after those actions take place. The Fourth Circuit remanded the case to the district court which set a trial date for August 22, 2022.
 - *FERC v. Vitol Inc. and Federico Corteggiano, (E.D. Cal.).* In 2019,

the Commission assessed penalties of \$2.5 million against Vitol Inc. and an individual trader for violating the Commission's anti-manipulation rule and Section 222 of the FPA after selling physical power at a loss in the CAISO day-ahead market to potentially benefit CRR positions. On January 6, 2020, Enforcement staff filed in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of California to enforce the Commission's order. The parties have filed various motions that remain pending with the court.