

JULY-AUGUST 2024

VOL. 24-7

PRATT'S

ENERGY LAW

REPORT



LexisNexis

EDITOR'S NOTE: ACROSS THE POND

Victoria Prussen Spears

**EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS
RULES IN *KLIMASENIORINNEN* CASE, WITH
IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE CLIMATE TORT
LITIGATION**

James Brady-Banzet, Andreas Wildner,
Maurits Dolmans and Leonor Vulpe Albari

**OOPS! . . . I GRID IT AGAIN: [IN]COHERENCY IN
UK ENERGY TRANSITION AND SECURITY**

Miguel Colebrook and Iona Gilby

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
ISSUES NEW CIVIL AND CRIMINAL
ENVIRONMENTAL ENFORCEMENT POLICY**

Jonathan D. Brightbill and Emily N. Kath

**ORDER NO. 2023-A: FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION INTERCONNECTION
REFORM IS FULL STEAM AHEAD**

Theodore J. Paradise, Kimberly B. Frank,
Chimera N. Thompson and Samantha J. DeLee

Pratt's Energy Law Report

VOLUME 24

NUMBER 7

July-August 2024

Editor's Note: Across the Pond

Victoria Prussen Spears

217

European Court of Human Rights Rules in *KlimaSeniorinnen* Case, with Implications for Future Climate Tort Litigation

James Brady-Banzet, Andreas Wildner, Maurits Dolmans and Leonor Vulpe Albari

219

Oops! . . . I Grid It Again: [In]Coherency in UK Energy Transition and Security

Miguel Colebrook and Iona Gilby

238

Environmental Protection Agency Issues New Civil and Criminal Environmental Enforcement Policy

Jonathan D. Brightbill and Emily N. Kath

248

Order No. 2023-A: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Interconnection Reform is Full Steam Ahead

Theodore J. Paradise, Kimberly B. Frank, Chimera N. Thompson and Samantha J. DeLee

252

QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the **Editorial Content** appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please call or email:

Raeesa Hoosen, LLB. at (1908) 673-3323

Email: raeesa.hoosen@lexisnexis.co.za

For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call:

Customer Services Department at (800) 833-9844

Outside the United States and Canada, please call (518) 487-3385

Fax Number (800) 828-8341

LexisNexis® Support Center <https://supportcenter.lexisnexis.com/app/home/>

For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call

Your account manager or (800) 223-1940

Outside the United States and Canada, please call (518) 487-3385

ISBN: 978-1-6328-0836-3 (print)

ISBN: 978-1-6328-0837-0 (ebook)

ISSN: 2374-3395 (print)

ISSN: 2374-3409 (online)

Cite this publication as:

[author name], [*article title*], [vol. no.] PRATT'S ENERGY LAW REPORT [page number]

(LexisNexis A.S. Pratt);

Ian Coles, *Rare Earth Elements: Deep Sea Mining and the Law of the Sea*, 14 PRATT'S ENERGY LAW REPORT 4 (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of RELX Inc. Matthew Bender, the Matthew Bender Flame Design, and A.S. Pratt are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2024 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Office
230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862
www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW  BENDER

Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

SAMUEL B. BOXERMAN

Partner, Sidley Austin LLP

ANDREW CALDER

Partner, Kirkland & Ellis LLP

MATTHEW DOBBINS

Partner, Vinson & Elkins LLP

M. SETH GINTHER

Partner, Hirschler Fleischer, P.C.

STEPHEN J. HUMES

Partner, Holland & Knight LLP

R. TODD JOHNSON

Partner, Jones Day

BARCLAY NICHOLSON

Partner, Norton Rose Fulbright

ELAINE M. WALSH

Partner, Baker Botts L.L.P.

SEAN T. WHEELER

Partner, Kirkland & Ellis LLP

Hydraulic Fracturing Developments

ERIC ROTHENBERG

Partner, O'Melveny & Myers LLP

Pratt's Energy Law Report is published 10 times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Copyright © 2024 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 9443 Springboro Pike, Miamisburg, OH 45342 or call Customer Support at 1-800-833-9844. Direct any editorial inquiries and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway Suite 18R, Floral Park, New York 11005, smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 631.291.5541. Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to lawyers and law firms, in-house counsel, government lawyers, senior business executives, and anyone interested in privacy and cybersecurity related issues and legal developments. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to *Pratt's Energy Law Report*, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 230 Park Ave. 7th Floor, New York NY 10169.

Order No. 2023-A: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Interconnection Reform is Full Steam Ahead

*By Theodore J. Paradise, Kimberly B. Frank, Chimera N. Thompson and Samantha J. DeLee**

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission recently clarified its landmark generator interconnection reform final rule. The authors of this article discuss the rehearing and compliance filing deadlines.

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) has issued Order No. 2023-A,¹ rehearing and clarifying its landmark generator interconnection reform final rule. Effective May 16, 2024, Order No. 2023-A largely upheld the interconnections reforms adopted by FERC in Order No. 2023, subject to certain modifications and clarifications.

BACKGROUND

In Order No. 2023,² FERC revised its pro forma Large Generator Interconnection Procedures to move from a first-come, first-served serial interconnection study process to a first-ready, first-served cluster study process and adopted measures to speed up interconnection queue processing. In the order, FERC imposed more stringent obligations for interconnection customers to enter the interconnection queue (by adding stricter generator site control requirements and increased study deposits and commercial readiness deposits) and imposed withdrawal penalties when interconnection customers depart the queue. FERC also instituted stricter requirements for transmission providers to timely complete interconnection studies by replacing the reasonable efforts standard with firm deadlines and introducing penalties for late-completed studies. In addition, FERC adopted a more standardized and detailed process for when affected systems are identified as part of the interconnection study process. FERC also made reforms to the interconnection process designed to incorporate technological advancements.

In evaluating the rehearing and clarification requests, FERC acknowledged the dramatic increase in interconnection requests and that interconnection backlogs remain a massive issue throughout the country that needs to be

* The authors are attorneys at K&L Gates LLP. They may be contacted at theodore.paradise@klgates.com, kimberly.frank@klgates.com, chimera.thompson@klgates.com and samantha.delee@klgates.com, respectively.

¹ <https://www.ferc.gov/media/e1-rm22-14-001>.

² <https://www.ferc.gov/media/order-no-2023>.

addressed. As such, FERC sustained its findings from Order No. 2023 that the existing pro forma generator interconnection procedures and agreements are insufficient to ensure that interconnection customers are able to interconnect to the transmission system in a reliable, efficient, transparent, and timely manner and are unjust, unduly discriminatory or preferential; therefore, the revisions from Order No. 2023 to the pro forma open access transmission tariff and FERC's regulations are necessary to ensure rates that are just, reasonable, and not unduly discriminatory.

REHEARING

On rehearing, FERC only modified around the edges of the reforms adopted in Order No. 2023. For example, FERC clarified that interconnection customers currently in a transmission provider's interconnection cluster study process will become subject to the transmission provider's new readiness requirements implemented as part of the Order No. 2023 compliance process. Unless FERC approves a variance, an interconnection customer that has not executed a large generator interconnection agreement (LGIA) (or requested that an LGIA be filed unexecuted with FERC) must comply with those new readiness requirements within 60 days of the effective date of the transmission provider's Order No. 2023 compliance filing. If an interconnection customer is unable or unwilling to meet the transmission provider's new readiness requirement, the interconnection customer may withdraw its interconnection request within that 60-day period without penalty.

Furthermore, FERC determined in Order No. 2023-A that a shared network upgrade can only be considered a stand-alone network upgrade (and thus eligible to be constructed by the interconnection customer(s)) if all affected interconnection customers agree to exercise the option to build such shared upgrade. Similarly, shared interconnection facilities are only eligible for the option to build if all affected interconnection customers agree to exercise such option to build. Such affected interconnection customers will have to voluntarily develop a written agreement (outside of the transmission provider's interconnection process) regarding responsibilities and payment for the construction of the applicable shared interconnection facilities and/or stand-alone network upgrades and provide the executed agreement to the transmission provider.

In addition, on rehearing, FERC expanded the acceptable forms of financial security for interconnection customers (in addition to cash and an irrevocable letter of credit) to include surety bonds or other forms of financial security that are reasonably acceptable to the transmission provider. FERC also specified that all interconnection requests must be validated by the transmission provider by the close of the cluster request window. Only interconnection customers with

valid interconnection requests at the close of the cluster request window will proceed to the next step in the interconnection study process.

Among provisions upheld on rehearing is a requirement for transmission providers to evaluate an enumerated list of “alternative transmission technologies.” Applying this requirement to both the large and small generator interconnection procedures, these include a range of voltage control equipment, power flow control devices, synchronous condensers, voltage source converters utilized with high voltage direct current systems, advanced conductors, and tower lifting. In requiring these technologies to be examined during both cluster studies and restudies without the need for a specific request from an interconnection customer, FERC has mandated a much wider range of solutions that may be both more cost effective and more expedient. Failing to examine these solutions, by contrast, would render FERC-jurisdictional rates unjust and unreasonable. In upholding the rule as both not overly burdensome and not affording “unfettered discretion to disregard” other solutions, FERC highlighted that transmission providers “must explain their evaluation of the enumerated alternative transmission technologies for feasibility, cost, and time savings” compared to a “traditional network upgrade,” and that this determination may be contested. In granting clarification around what is meant by “advanced conductors,” FERC explained that this means “conductors are advanced relative to conventional aluminum conductor steel reinforced conductors and include, but are not limited to, superconducting cables, advanced composite conductors, high temperature low-sag conductors, fiber optic temperature sensing conductors, and advanced overhead conductors.”

COMPLIANCE DEADLINES

Order No. 2023 compliance filings by transmission providers were scheduled to be due on April 3, 2024. In light of the changes made on rehearing and clarification in Order No. 2023, FERC extended the compliance filing deadline to May 16, 2024 (30 days after Order No. 2023-A was published in the Federal Register).

Several entities have filed petitions for the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit to review Order No. 2023-A, all of which have been consolidated with appeals of Order No. 2023 under the lead case, *Advanced Energy United, Inc. v. FERC*.³ Some petitioners have filed motions to amend their original petitions for review of Order No. 2023 to include Order No. 2023-A. The court granted one petitioner's motion to voluntarily dismiss its petition in light of Order No. 2023-A. On April 16, 2024, FERC filed an unopposed motion requesting that the court keep the consolidated cases in

³ Case No. 23-1282 (D.C. Cir.).

abeyance until June 4, 2024 to allow the agency to retain jurisdiction to address any further requests for rehearing or clarification.