

MARCH 2024

VOL. 24-3

PRATT'S

ENERGY LAW

REPORT



LexisNexis

EDITOR'S NOTE: THE CANADA CAP

Victoria Prussen Spears

THE CANADA CAP: CANADA TO CAP OIL AND GAS EMISSIONS STARTING IN 2026

Peter Forrester and Alan Harvie

EUROPEAN GREEN BONDS: A NEW HIGH-QUALITY BENCHMARK IN A WORLD DOMINATED BY CLIMATE CHANGE

Jean-Quentin De Cuyper and Jolien De Troyer

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION INITIATES NEW PROCEEDING TO REVIEW ITS POLICY ON FEDERAL POWER ACT SECTION 203(a)(2) BLANKET AUTHORIZATIONS, POSING POTENTIAL RAMIFICATIONS FOR ESG INVESTING

Nicholas Gladd and Max Learner

HIGHLIGHTS OF SECTION 48 INVESTMENT TAX CREDIT PROPOSED REGULATIONS

Martha G. Pugh, France Beard Johnson and Rebecca J. Kim

RECENT TREASURY PROPOSED REGULATIONS' APPLICATION TO QUALIFIED OFFSHORE WIND PROPERTIES

Martha G. Pugh, Theodore J. Paradise and Rebecca J. Kim

ANTITRUST DEVELOPMENTS IN THE ENERGY AND CHEMICAL SECTOR

Darren Tucker, Jason M. Powers and Tyler Somes

Pratt's Energy Law Report

VOLUME 24

NUMBER 3

March 2024

Editor's Note: The Canada Cap Victoria Prussen Spears	73
The Canada Cap: Canada to Cap Oil and Gas Emissions Starting in 2026 Peter Forrester and Alan Harvie	75
European Green Bonds: A New High-Quality Benchmark in a World Dominated by Climate Change Jean-Quentin De Cuyper and Jolien De Troyer	80
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Initiates New Proceeding to Review Its Policy on Federal Power Act Section 203(a)(2) Blanket Authorizations, Posing Potential Ramifications for ESG Investing Nicholas Gladd and Max Learner	86
Highlights of Section 48 Investment Tax Credit Proposed Regulations Martha G. Pugh, France Beard Johnson and Rebecca J. Kim	91
Recent Treasury Proposed Regulations' Application to Qualified Offshore Wind Properties Martha G. Pugh, Theodore J. Paradise and Rebecca J. Kim	97
Antitrust Developments in the Energy and Chemical Sector Darren Tucker, Jason M. Powers and Tyler Somes	102

QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the **Editorial Content** appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please call or email:

Jessica Carnevale, Esq. at (212) 229-4942

Email: jessica.carnevale@lexisnexis.com

For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call:

Customer Services Department at (800) 833-9844

Outside the United States and Canada, please call (518) 487-3385

Fax Number (800) 828-8341

LexisNexis® Support Center <https://supportcenter.lexisnexis.com/app/home/>

For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call

Your account manager or (800) 223-1940

Outside the United States and Canada, please call (518) 487-3385

ISBN: 978-1-6328-0836-3 (print)

ISBN: 978-1-6328-0837-0 (ebook)

ISSN: 2374-3395 (print)

ISSN: 2374-3409 (online)

Cite this publication as:

[author name], [*article title*], [vol. no.] PRATT’S ENERGY LAW REPORT [page number]

(LexisNexis A.S. Pratt);

Ian Coles, *Rare Earth Elements: Deep Sea Mining and the Law of the Sea*, 14 PRATT’S ENERGY LAW REPORT 4 (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of RELX Inc. Matthew Bender, the Matthew Bender Flame Design, and A.S. Pratt are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2024 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Office
230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862
www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW  BENDER

Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

SAMUEL B. BOXERMAN

Partner, Sidley Austin LLP

ANDREW CALDER

Partner, Kirkland & Ellis LLP

MATTHEW DOBBINS

Partner, Vinson & Elkins LLP

M. SETH GINTHER

Partner, Hirschler Fleischer, P.C.

STEPHEN J. HUMES

Partner, Holland & Knight LLP

R. TODD JOHNSON

Partner, Jones Day

BARCLAY NICHOLSON

Partner, Norton Rose Fulbright

ELAINE M. WALSH

Partner, Baker Botts L.L.P.

SEAN T. WHEELER

Partner, Kirkland & Ellis LLP

Hydraulic Fracturing Developments

ERIC ROTHENBERG

Partner, O'Melveny & Myers LLP

Pratt's Energy Law Report is published 10 times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Copyright © 2024 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 9443 Springboro Pike, Miamisburg, OH 45342 or call Customer Support at 1-800-833-9844. Direct any editorial inquiries and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway Suite 18R, Floral Park, New York 11005, smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 631.291.5541. Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to lawyers and law firms, in-house counsel, government lawyers, senior business executives, and anyone interested in privacy and cybersecurity related issues and legal developments. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to *Pratt's Energy Law Report*, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 230 Park Ave. 7th Floor, New York NY 10169.

Recent Treasury Proposed Regulations’ Application to Qualified Offshore Wind Properties

*By Martha G. Pugh, Theodore J. Paradise and Rebecca J. Kim**

In this article, the authors discuss proposed regulations that update the types of “energy properties” eligible for the investment tax credit under Section 48 of the Internal Revenue Code.

The U.S. Department of the Treasury (Treasury Department) and Internal Revenue Service (IRS) has issued proposed regulations (Proposed Regulations)¹ updating rules for the investment tax credit (ITC) under Internal Revenue Code² Section 48 that have not been changed since 1987. The Proposed Regulations update the types of “energy properties” eligible for the ITC under Section 48, reflecting changes in the energy industry, technological advances, and the recent updates from the Inflation Reduction Act of 2022.

One of the key changes addressed by the Proposed Regulations was the definition of which components should be included as energy property, particularly in the context of offshore wind facilities. To avoid limiting future energy technologies, the Treasury Department and the IRS determined the best option is adopting a function-oriented approach to describe the types of components that are considered energy property.³

Accordingly, proposed §1.48-9(f) adopted the concepts of functional interdependence and property that is an integral part of an energy property as provided in the Internal Revenue Bulletin guidance issued previously by the Treasury Department and the IRS.⁴ However, energy property generally excludes equipment that is an addition or modification to an existing energy property, unless it is considered a retrofitted energy property under §1.48-14(a) (i.e., the “80/20 rule”).

* The authors, attorneys with K&L Gates LLP, may be contacted at marty.pugh@klgates.com, theodore.paradise@klgates.com and rebecca.kim@klgates.com, respectively.

¹ <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2023/11/22/2023-25539/definition-of-energy-property-and-rules-applicable-to-the-energy-credit>.

² Unless otherwise indicated, all statutory references are to the Internal Revenue Code, Title 26 U.S.C., in effect at all relevant times.

³ Prop. Treas. Reg. § 1.48-9(f)(2).

⁴ See, e.g., I.R.S. Notice 2018-59, 2018-28 I.R.B. 196.

FUNCTIONAL INTERDEPENDENCE AND INTEGRAL PART

The Proposed Regulations outline two ways a property could be identified as “energy property” if (1) components of the property are functionally interdependent, or (2) a property is an integral part of an energy property.

A unit of energy property means all functionally interdependent components of property owned by the taxpayer that are operated together and that can operate apart from other energy properties within a larger energy project.⁵ Generally, components of property are functionally interdependent if the placing in service of each component is dependent upon the placing in service of each of the other components to generate or to store electricity, thermal energy, or hydrogen.⁶ Therefore, energy property includes components necessary to generate or to store electricity or thermal energy for transmission, distribution, or use up to, but not including, the transmission, distribution, or usage stage of electricity or thermal energy.

To be considered an integral part of an energy property, such property must be used directly in the intended function of the energy property and is essential to the completeness of the intended function.⁷

QUALIFIED OFFSHORE WIND PROPERTY APPLICATION: POWER CONDITIONING AND TRANSFER EQUIPMENT

The Proposed Regulations explain that power conditioning equipment and transfer equipment, such as subsea cables and voltage transformers, used to perform the intended function to condition electricity for use on the electrical grid are considered integral parts of the qualified offshore wind property and thus are energy property.⁸

Power conditioning equipment includes transformers, inverters, and converters.⁹ Parts related to the functioning or protection of power conditioning equipment are also treated as power conditioning equipment, such as switches, circuit breakers, arrestors, and hardware and software used to monitor, operate, and protect power conditioning equipment.¹⁰ Because these parts are related to the functioning or protection of power conditioning equipment, they are also treated as power conditioning equipment, and thus are energy property as well.

⁵ See Prop. Reg. Teas. § 1.48-9(f)(2)(i).

⁶ Id. § 1.48-9(f)(2)(ii).

⁷ Prop. Reg. Teas. § 1.48-9(f)(3)(i).

⁸ Id. § 1.48-9(f)(3)(ii).

⁹ Id.

¹⁰ Id.

Transfer equipment includes wires, cables, and combiner boxes that aggregate energy or alter voltages to transfer to a transmission or distribution line.¹¹ Similar to power conditioning equipment, parts related to the functioning or protection of transfer equipment are also treated as transfer equipment, which may include current transformers used for metering, electrical interrupters (such as circuit breakers, fuses, and other switches), and hardware and software used to monitor, operate, and protect transfer equipment.¹² Because these related parts are treated as transfer equipment, they are also considered energy properties. However, transfer equipment does not include transmission or distribution lines and towers.¹³ In other words, as set out in the Proposed Regulations, transmission and distribution equipment are not functionally interdependent components of an energy property nor are they an integral part of an energy property. The Treasury Department drew the line of separation between the transmission and related equipment moving power from the offshore wind farm and the larger transmission and distribution system on the other side of the transformer and switch gear of an onshore receiving substation.

To illustrate and clarify applicability, the Treasury Department provided the following example:¹⁴

Taxpayer A builds an offshore wind facility (“Offshore Wind Facility”) with 150 turbines and elects for the Section 48 ITC instead of the Section 45 credit.¹⁵ The facility’s turbines generate alternating current electricity, which is transmitted via inter-array cables to an offshore substation. Here, the electricity undergoes voltage transformation and conversion to direct current for transfer to an onshore substation via subsea export cables. At this onshore site, the electricity is converted back to alternating current. It then passes through a transformer and associated switchgear where it will be converted to electrical grid voltage and where the Offshore Wind Facility can be electrically isolated from the grid.

All components of the Offshore Wind Facility, up to and including the transformer and switchgear housed in the onshore substation, are either functionally interdependent components of an energy property

¹¹ Id.

¹² Id.

¹³ Id. § 1.48-9(f)(1).

¹⁴ See, e.g., Prop. Reg. Teas. § 1.48-9(f)(5)(iii).

¹⁵ Section 45 is the Production Tax Credit (PTC). Owners of energy property must opt to utilize either the ITC or PTC.

or integral parts of an energy property. Therefore, the Offshore Wind Facility is an energy property, and the cost of the components up to and including the transformer and switchgear housed in the onshore substation are included in the basis of the Offshore Wind Facility for purposes of computing the Section 48 ITC.

Due to the Proposed Regulation's specific application of the "integral part" concept to qualified offshore wind properties, it is crucial for offshore wind industries to have a clear understanding of the treatment of subsea cables and voltage transfers. The treatment set out in the Proposed Regulations allows for the calculation of the portion of the component costs that should be included in the basis of the energy property for purposes of computing the Section 48 ITC. However the Proposed Regulations have elicited several comments that make the case that ownership of both the transfer facilities and transfer equipment is not necessary under the relevant statute.¹⁶

THE ITC APPLICATION TO ENERGY PROPERTY BEYOND THE WIND FARM IS DEPENDENT ON OWNERSHIP OF THE OFFSHORE GENERATION

A taxpayer must directly own at least a fraction interest in the entire unit of energy property to be eligible for a Section 48 ITC.¹⁷ The Treasury Department stated that: if one taxpayer owns the power conditioning and transfer equipment while another unrelated taxpayer owns the turbines, only the taxpayer who owns the turbines is eligible to claim the Section 48 ITC, and provided the following example.¹⁸ For instance, Taxpayer A now only owns power conditioning and transfer equipment while the 150 turbines are owned by an unrelated Taxpayer B. Because Taxpayer A only owns the power conditioning and transfer equipment, Taxpayer A would not be eligible for the Section 48 ITC. Only Taxpayer B, as the owner of the 150 turbines, can claim the Section 48 ITC.

In short, only the taxpayer directly owning the energy property unit can claim the Section 48 ITC. Partnerships or joint ventures between owners of turbines and eligible power conditioning equipment may allow for tax planning in order to maximize the property that is eligible for tax credits for Offshore Wind projects even under the current guidance.

¹⁶ <https://www.regulations.gov/document/IRS-2023-0054-0001/comment>.

¹⁷ See Prop. Reg. Teas. § 1.48-14(e)(2).

¹⁸ See, e.g., Prop. Reg. Teas. § 1.48-14(e)(4).

IMPLICATIONS FOR OFFSHORE PLANNED TRANSMISSION SYSTEMS

While providing clarity that transmission and voltage conversion equipment bundled with an offshore wind farm qualify as energy property, independent transmission is excluded from the ITC. Certain states, starting with New Jersey, have sought to develop transmission systems that can integrate more than one wind farm and in the process materially reduce the amount of transmission needed to interconnect a larger amount of offshore energy.¹⁹ New England has signaled that it is exploring a shared transmission build out for its six-state region,²⁰ while the Department of Energy Atlantic Action Plan²¹ and Pacific Northwest National Labs September 2023 study of Northern California and Southern Oregon²² show material cost and reliability benefits from offshore multi-terminal high-voltage direct current (HVDC) transmission systems.

Depending on the scale of these shared HVDC transmission systems, savings from reduced equipment or other benefits may equal or exceed the ITC. Whether arguments that may further expand energy property to account for generation-like characteristics of HVDC systems are persuasive²³ remains to be seen.²⁴

¹⁹ The Benefit and Urgency of Planned Offshore Transmission: Reducing the Costs and Barriers to Achieving U.S. Clean Energy Goals, J. Pfeifenberger, C. Plet, et al. January 24, 2023. https://www.brattle.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/01/Brattle-OSW-Transmission-Report_Jan-24-2023.pdf.

²⁰ The New England States Transmission Initiative <https://newenglandenergyvision.com/new-england-states-transmission-initiative/#:-:text=On%20September%201%2C%202022%2C%205,offshore%20wind%2C%20while%20improving%20the>.

²¹ Offshore Wind Transmission Development in the U.S. Atlantic Region, Interim Draft, U.S. Dept. of Energy, U.S. Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, September 2023. <https://www.energy.gov/gdo/atlantic-offshore-wind-transmission-action-plan>.

²² An Offshore Wind Energy Development Strategy to Maximize Electrical System Benefits in Southern Oregon and Northern California, T. Douville, et al., September 2023. https://nationaloffshorewind.org/wp-content/uploads/SoOR_NorCA_OSW_Development_Strategy_Report_PNNL_NOWRDC_BOEM_092923-1.pdf.

²³ The Treasury Department has received comments that HVDC systems should qualify as wind energy property, <https://www.regulations.gov/document/IRS-2023-0054-0001/comment>.

²⁴ These traditional generator functions include the ability of HVDC systems to “black start” a grid after a large blackout, as well as system frequency and voltage support.